

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,631	10/31/2003		Gary Robinson	AAMTC.0118	3378
22858	7590	12/11/2006		INER	
		HOON, LLP	HASSAN, RASHEDUL		
P O BOX 802334 DALLAS, TX 75380				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			·	2112	2112

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/698,631		ROBINSON ET AL.					
Office Action	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Rashedul	Hassan	2112	•				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication ap	opears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUT WHICHEVER IS LONGEF - Extensions of time may be availab after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m - If NO period for reply is specified a - Failure to reply within the set or ex	R, FROM THE MAILING I le under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period tended period for reply will, by statuter than three months after the maili	DATE OF TH .136(a). In no even d will apply and wite, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be time of expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ication to become ABANDONE	N.  nely filed  the mailing date of this com  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			٠.						
1) Responsive to comr	nunication(s) filed on								
2a) This action is FINAL		is action is n	on-final.						
<u>'</u>	n is in condition for allowa	ance except	for förmal matters, pro	secution as to the i	merits is				
closed in accordance	e with the practice under	Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are	pending in the application	n							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	im(s) is/are withdra		nsideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/a	e allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are o	bjected to.								
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction and/	or election re	equirement.						
Application Papers				·					
9) The specification is o	bjected to by the Examin	ner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed	on is/are: a)	cepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing	sheet(s) including the corre	ction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declarate	on is objected to by the E	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9								
12) Acknowledgment is	_	n priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
•—	c) None of: es of the priority documer	ate have bee	n received						
·	es of the priority documer			on No					
<del></del>	certified copies of the price		· •		tage				
<del>-</del> •	om the International Burea	•		a mano radional o	90				
	ailed Office action for a lis	-		d.					
			•						
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (P)	ro-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Paten	t Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date Jan 29.			5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	атепт Арріісатіоп					

Application/Control Number: 10/698,631 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Duplicate Claims**

1. Applicant is advised that should claim 10 be found allowable, claim 14 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2,3,8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "sort code" in these claims does not have antecedent basis in the specification and it is not clear what type of data constitutes a "sort code". For the purpose of examination "sort code" is interpreted, in the broadest reasonable interpretation, as a field of the data set usable for the purpose of sorting the result set obtained by a search of the relational database.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al. (US 2003/0055812 A1), hereinafter Williams, in view of Honjo et al (US 2001/0056378 A1), hereinafter Honjo, and further in view of Bailey et al. (2002/0091690 A1), hereinafter Bailey.
- 4. For claims 1-7 (a system) and 8-15 (a method), Williams discloses a computer system for identifying a part, the system comprising a scalable database of identification data sets [0023], each data set descriptive of an item and comprising data for a numbering scheme (partID, PartAssemblyID, OEMPartNum, etc), a family category (516), picture files depicting the item (Part Img), and identification criteria (fields in product table) defined from the family category (Fig. 5), a computer-readable medium ([0022]) and a processor in communication with the computer-readable medium and the database (104 in Fig. 1). Williams also teaches that the data sets descriptive of an item further comprise data for ownership (in billboard 118), size (part/detail description in Fig. 4), sort code (status in Fig. 5), supplier ([0092]) and product line (618 in Fig 6C). A user device including the input device and the user display screen is inherently taught by

Application/Control Number: 10/698,631

Art Unit: 2112

Williams as being necessary requirements for user interface. Williams also discloses that the instructions are further configured to present an item screen depicting a data set for a single item [0043]. Williams does not explicitly teach using input boxes for input of a number scheme. However, Honjo discloses a method and system for managing parts used in fluid machinery where he teaches using input boxes for input of a number scheme (102,104,105 in Fig. 6). Furthermore, although Williams discloses a family wizard (390 in Fig. 3), neither Williams nor Honjo discloses presenting a criteria screen to the user where the criteria screen provides identification questions that correlate to the identification criteria for the corresponding family. They also do not disclose that the criteria screen includes a plurality of drop down menus, each menu associated with a corresponding identification question and listing responses thereto and that the item screen includes a selectable option for proceeding to a criteria screen for the family to which the item belongs. But Bailey discloses a searching technique that teaches presenting a criteria screen (Fig. 8A) to the user where the criteria screen provides identification questions (120) that correlate to the identification criteria for the corresponding family and a plurality of drop down menus (122,124,126), each menu associated with a corresponding identification question and listing responses thereto ([0037], [0084] and [0085]). Bailey also discloses that the item screen (Fig. 10) includes a selectable option (158,159 or 52 and 77 in Fig 10) for proceeding to a criteria screen for the family to which the item belongs. Therefore, it would have been obvious, given the general knowledge in the art at the time of the invention, for a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Honjo and Bailey's teachings with that of Robinson to arrive at

Application/Control Number: 10/698,631

Art Unit: 2112

the present invention. The motivation for combining the teachings would have been for searching a part in the database using a number identifier uniquely predetermined for the part (Honjo, [0008]) and for reducing or eliminating the guesswork associated with searching by proactively presenting the user with acceptable choices and letting the user select from among those acceptable choices and also to provide more user control over the specificity of the search (Bailey, [0037]).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rashedul Hassan whose telephone number is 571-272-9481. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30AM-5PM EST and Alt Fri 7:30AM-5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Stucker can be reached on 571-272-9821. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Application/Control Number: 10/698,631

Art Unit: 2112

Page 6

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

(Rashedul Hassan)

JEFFREY STUCKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER